#### Oznaka obrazca:

## Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

# Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2018 Phase II

#### A. REVIEWER

ID	
Name and Surename	

#### **B. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Public call	
Application number	
Type of the research project	
Title of the research project	
Project leader	
Research organization	
Interdiciplinary research	
Scientific discipline / research field	
Additional scientific discipline / research field	

### C. INTRODUCTION

#### Award criteria

- 1: Scientific excellence of researchers BA1
- 2: Scientific, technological or innovation excellence BA2
- 3: Potential impact due to development, dissemination and use of expected results of research BA3
- 4: Quality and efficiency of implementation and management BA4

#### **Scores and Thresholds**

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - first decimal place can be used: (0; 1,0; 1,1; 1,2; ...; 4,8; 4,9; 5,0).

Final scores must pass thresholds if a proposal is to be considered for funding/eligible to enter the II. phase.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

- The individual threshold is 3.
- The overall threshold is 12.

#### Interpretation of the scores:

0,0	The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due
	to missing or incomplete information,

**1,0 - 1,9 (Poor)** The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses,

**2,0 - 2,9 (Fair)** The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses,

3,0 - 3,9 (Good) The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present, 4,0 - 4,9 (Very good) The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present, The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the 5,0 (Excellent) criterion. Any shortcomings are minor. D. REVIEWER'S REPORT 1. Scientific excellence of researchers - BA1 The following aspects will be taken into account: Outstanding achievements • Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct research • Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2017-II Score 1: select score Threshold for final score: 3,0 points. A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory). 2. Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BA2 The following aspects will be taken into account: · Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives Ambitious, innovation potential and the state of the art (e.g. ground-breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches) Data source: Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2017-II Score 2: select score Threshold for final score: 3,0 points. A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

3. Potential impact due to development, dissemination and use of expected results of research - BA3

The following aspects will be taken into account:

 Strengthening the competitiveness and growth of companies by developing innovations meeting the needs of European and global markets

- All other environmental and socially significant impacts, including impacts on cultural development (not included in other indicators)
- Effectiveness of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of intellectual property rights), to communicate the project, and to manage research data
- Expected effects of the project
- Strengthening innovation and incorporation of new knowledge

select score	
	Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.
A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).	
. Quality and e	efficiency of implementation and management - BA4
<ul><li>The adequallocation of Relevance of Relevance</li></ul>	spects will be taken into account: acy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of t if tasks and allocation of resources of the project partners and the project team hacy of the management regarding the risks and overcoming existi
Data source:  • Application	form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2017-II
Score 4:	
select score	Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.
A written com	ment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and
must be consis	stent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).
TOTAL SCORE	Threshold for final score: 12 points.
I declare th	Threshold for final score: 12 points.  at, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of the evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the »Statement on a nterest and confidentiality« form signed.
I declare th	at, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of he evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the »Statement on a

Obrazec: ARRS-RPROJ-EvalFormA-2017-II-P v1.00 -