Slovenian Research Agency

PUBLIC CALL FOR CO-FINANCING OF RESEARCH PROJECTS IN 2018

Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

for the project proposal from the Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2018

BASIC RESEARCH PROJECT

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Proposal number:	
Project leader:	
Type of the project:	
Duration of the project in	
Scientific discipline / research field:	
Links: Application form	
	Reviewer/Rapporteur:

ARRS-RPROJ-OL-T/2018-I Page 1 of 5

Introduction

Award criteria for basic research projects

Experts will evaluate on the basis of the criteria as follows:

- B1. Scientific excellence of the researcher (0-5)
- B2. Scientific, technological and innovation excellence (0-5)
- B3. Quality and efficiency of implementation and management (0-5)

Grades and Thresholds

Experts score each award criterion on a scale from 0 to 5 (first decimal place can be used): Interpretation of the scores:

Score	Interpretation of scores	Mark
Poor	The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.	0,0
Poor	The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.	1,0 - 1,9
Fair	The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.	2,0 - 2,9
Good	The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.	3,0 – 3,9
Very good	The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.	4,0 – 4,9
Excellent	The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.	5,0

Basic project or postdoctoral basic project can not be selected for financing if rating of BT = BT1 + BT2 + BT3 is less than 10 or individual rating of BT1, BT2 or BT3 is lower than 3.

ARRS-RPROJ-OL-T/2018-I Page 2 of 5

BT1	Scientific excellence of project leader (0-5)		
	BT1a: Outstanding achievements		
	BT1b: Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking		
	BT1c. Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct research		
	Reviewer's comments under criteria BT1 (mandatory 300 characters)		
		BT1: Threshold	
		3/5	

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2017-I

ARRS-RPROJ-OL-T/2018-I Page 3 of 5

ВТ2	Scientific, technological and innovation excellence (0-5)		
	BT2a: Adequacy of addressing important research challenges		
	BT2b: Ambition and exceptionality of goals (for example, new methods and approaches to developing of scientific fields)		
	BT2c: Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives		
	BT2d: Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives		
	Reviewer's comments under criteria BT2 (mandatory 300 characters)		
		BT2:	
		Threshold 3/5	

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2017-I

ARRS-RPROJ-OL-T/2018-I Page 4 of 5

ВТ3	Quality and efficiency of implementation and management (0-5)		
	BT3a: The adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources BT3b: The feasibility of a scientific approach		
	Reviewer's comments under criteria BT3 (mandatory 300 characters)		
		BT3 Threshold 3/5	

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2017-I

ARRS-RPROJ-OL-T/2018-I Page 5 of 5