Slovenian Research Agency

PUBLIC CALL FOR CO-FINANCING OF RESEARCH PROJECTS IN 2015

REVIEWER'S REPORT Phase II

for the project proposal from the Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2015.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Proposal number:	
Title of the research project:	
Project leader:	
Research organization:	
Type of the project:	
Duration of the project in years:	
Scientific discipline / research field:	

Reviewer:

Date:

(Signature)

B. ASSESSMENT ELEMENTS

Grade B

1.	Research excellence of the project leader and the research team	B1	
Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)			
Me	aning of points:		

Meaning of points:4 - 5Global top/vital importance to Slovenia, discovery4 - 5In contact with the global top/high national importance3 - 4International and national significance2 - 3Average/less significant1 - 2Not meeting the basic standards0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2014-II, (Item 8)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B1¹:

¹ Written comment is obligatory.

2.	Socioeconomic or cultural relevance of research results of the	BO	
	project leader and the research team	DZ	

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (the grades must be rounded to the nearest whole number)

Meaning of points:

0	
Exceptionally relevant achievements	5
Highly relevant achievements	4
Relevant achievements	3
Less relevant achievements	2
Irrelevant achievements	1
Do not meet majority of the specified criteria	0
	Highly relevant achievements Relevant achievements Less relevant achievements Irrelevant achievements

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2014-II, (Item 9.1)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B2²:

3. Research quality of the proposed project

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

•	State-of-the-art project	4 - 5
٠	Quality project	3 - 4
٠	Average project	2 - 3
٠	Lower quality project 1	1 - 2
•	Lower quality project	0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2014-II, (Item 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17.1)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B3³:

B3

² Written comment is obligatory.

³ Written comment is obligatory.

4.	Relevance and potential impact of the results of the proposed	B4	
	project	D4	

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

٠	State-of-the-art project	4 - 5
٠	Quality project	3 - 4
٠	Average project	2 - 3
٠	Lower quality project 1	1 - 2
٠	Lower quality project	0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2014-II, (Item 17.2, 17.3)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B4⁴:

of the proposed project B5

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

•	State-of-the-art project	4 - 5
•	Quality project	2 - 4
•	Lower quality project	0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2014-II, (Item 2, 3, 4, 5, 9.2, 13, 14, 15, 16)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B5⁵:

⁴ Written comment is obligatory.

⁵ Written comment is obligatory.