



JAVNA AGENCIJA ZA RAZISKOVALNO DEJAVNOST
REPUBLIKE SLOVENIJE

JAVNI RAZPIS ZA (SO)FINANCIRANJE GOSTOVANJ PRI VODJAH ERC PROJEKTOV V
LETU 2017

PUBLIC CALL FOR CO-FINANCING POTENTIAL ERC CANDIDATES TO VISIT ERC
GRANTEES IN 2017

INDIVIDUALNO POROČILO
REVIEWER'S REPORT

A. OSNOVNE INFORMACIJE / GENERAL INFORMATION

Številka prijave / Proposal number:

.....

Naslov osnutka projekta / Title of the outlined project:

.....

Gostujoči raziskovalec / Visiting researcher:

.....

Raziskovalna organizacija / Research organization:

.....

Znanstvena veda / Scientific discipline:

.....

Raziskovalno področje / Research field:

.....

Skupna ocena / Total score: (Mejni prag / Threshold: 10 / 15)

.....

Datum / Date:

Recenzent / Reviewer

.....

Podpis / Signature

.....

NAVODILA ZA OCENJEVALCE THE GUIDE FOR REVIEWERS

Vsak kriterij je ovrednoten z oceno na lestvici od 0 do 5. Za uvrstitev v izbor za sofinanciranje mora posamezna prijava doseči mejni prag treh točk za posamezen kriterij in hkrati skupno 10 točk. Vsaka ocena mora biti zapisana na eno decimalno mesto natančno npr.: 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; do 5,0.

Pomen posameznih ocen:

- 0 (nezadostno): prijava kriteriju v celoti ne ustreza ali ocene ni mogoče podati zaradi pomanjkljivih informacij,
- 1 (nezadostno): prijava kriteriju ne ustreza ali ima resne slabosti,
- 2 (pomanjkljivo): prijava kriteriju ustreza, vendar ima pomembne slabosti,
- 3 (dobro): prijava kriteriju ustreza, vendar ima precej pomanjkljivosti,
- 4 (zelo dobro): prijava kriteriju zelo ustreza, vendar ima manjše pomanjkljivosti,
- 5 (odlično): prijava kriteriju povsem ustreza, morebitne pomanjkljivosti so neznatne.

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale of zero to five. In order to be considered for co-financing, an application should reach the threshold of three points per criterion and common threshold criterion of 10 points. All the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance: 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0.

Implementation of the score:

- 0 (Noncompetitive): The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information,
- 1 (Poor): The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses,
- 2 (Fair): The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses,
- 3 (Good): The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present,
- 4 (Very good): The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present,
- 5 (Excellent): The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

B. KRITERIJI OCENJEVANJA / ASSESSMENT ELEMENTS

1	Kakovost dispozicije raziskovalnega projekta / Research project quality criterion	B1
---	---	----

Kazalniki / Indicators:

- Ustreznost naslavljanja pomembnih raziskovalnih izzivov (kazalnik 4.1.);
To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?
- Ambicioznost ciljev (na primer nove metode in pristopi k razvoju področij) in potencial za preseganje obstoječega stanja v znanosti (kazalnik 4.2.);
To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond the state of the art (e.g. novel concepts and approaches or development across disciplines)?
- Jasnost koncepta, vključno z interdisciplinarnim vidikom, in ustreznost ciljev (kazalnik 4.3.);
To what extent is the concept sound and the proposed timescales and resources necessary and properly justified (based on the outline of a scientific research project)?
- Primernost predlagane metodologije raziskave za doseganje ciljev (kazalnik 4.5.);
To what extent is the proposed research methodology appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (based on the outline of a scientific research project)?

Ocena / Score: (Mejni prag / Threshold: 3 / 5)

Pisna obrazložitev za kriterij B1

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B1

2	Znanstvena odličnost raziskovalca / Researcher scientific quality	B2
----------	--	-----------

Kazalniki / Indicators:

- Izjemni dosežki (kazalnik 1.3.), ki se nanašajo na raziskovalno področje oziroma cilje, kot so opredeljeni v osnutku dispozicije znanstvenoraziskovalnega projekta;
To what extent have the achievements of the researcher typically gone beyond the state-of-the-art?
- Izkazana sposobnost samostojnega in ustvarjalnega razmišljanja (kazalnik 1.7);
To what extent does the researcher provide evidence of creative independent thinking?
- Sposobnost priprave predloga raziskave in vodenja raziskav (kazalnik 1.11.);
To what extent has the researcher demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?

Ocena / Score: (Mejni prag / Threshold: 3 / 5)

Pisna obrazložitev za kriterij B2

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B2

3	Kakovost partnerstva / Partnership quality	B3
----------	---	-----------

Kazalniki / Indicators:

- Skladnost dejavnosti vodje ERC projekta in gostujočega raziskovalca;
To what extent does the proposed research project correspond with the ongoing research project of the ERC Principal Investigator?
- Kakovost načrta vključitve gostujočega raziskovalca v dejavnosti vodje ERC projekta;
To what extent is the proposed plan for an active inclusion of Visiting researcher into on-going project of ERC Principal Investigator well-defined and feasible;
- Primernost raziskovalnih zmogljivosti raziskovalne organizacije vodje ERC projekta;
To what extent is the relevant research infrastructure available to the Visiting researcher?

Ocena / Score: (Mejni prag / Threshold: 3 / 5)

Pisna obrazložitev za kriterij B3

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B3